

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/453,934	05/17/2000	Tetsuro Motoyama	5244-0121-2	7299
22850 75	590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EL HADY, NABIL M	
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
			2152	
				•

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X							
	Application I	ło.	Applicant(s)				
	09/453,934		MOTOYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Nabil M. El-H		2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS R 1.136(a). In no event, I n. eriod will apply and will ex statute, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tim bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 October 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i>	э, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requ	irement.					
Application Papers			•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note	ine attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		лом груподион (г тО-192)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	ce Action Summary	Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20050902				
· · · · · ·	y	ı aı	apor mosman Date 20000302				

Art Unit: 2152

1. This application is being withdrawn from issue under 37 CFR 1.313(b) with a notice of withdrawal of July 20, 2005 in order to permit reopening of prosecution. The application contains at least one claim that is unpatentable.

- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.
- 3. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-3, 10-11, 14-18, and 21-26 of copending Application No.

Art Unit: 2152

09/453,935, thereafter "935", which is recently allowed. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: both the instant application and "935" disclose a computer program product comprising a computer storage medium and an embedded computer program code mechanism for causing a computer to control a protocol used for data communication between a remote receiver and at least one of a device, an appliance, an application and an application unit. Limitations of claims 1-20 of the instant application are included in claims 1-3, 10-11, 14-18, and 21-26 in "935".

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 6, 7, 13, 14, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. The following phrases are not clearly understood rendering the claim indefinite:

Art Unit: 2152

a) "A computer computer-implemented method", claims 18-20, line 1; it is unclear if this means a method that is computer-implemented, or a computer that implements a method.

- B. The following lack antecedent basis:
- a) "the sixth computer device", claim 6, line 2; claim 7, line 2, claim 13, line 2; and claim 14, line 2.
- 8. Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and when appropriate action is taken to overcome the double patenting rejection set forth in the office action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nabil M. El-Hady whose telephone number is (571) 272-3963. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2152

September 2, 2005

Nabil El-Hady, Ph.D, M.B.A. Primary Examiner Art Unit 2152